

Atlanta  
Denver  
Los Angeles  
Philadelphia

**McKenna Long  
& Aldridge<sup>LLP</sup>**  
Attorneys at Law

303 Peachtree Street, NE • Suite 5300 • Atlanta, GA 30308  
Tel: 404.527.4000 • Fax: 404.527.4198  
www.mckennalong.com

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
FEB 22 2005  
San Diego  
San Francisco  
Washington, DC  
Brussels

**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL**

**Date:** February 22, 2005

To: Name/Company	Fax No.	Phone No.
Examiner Thoi V. Duong	703-872-9306	571-272-2292

**From:** George Ballas  
**Phone:** (202) 496-7571  
**Re:** Interview Request (Application No. 09/820,702)

**Number of Pages (including cover):** 2

**COMMENTS**

**URGENT - PLEASE FWD TO EXAMINER**

**Confidentiality Notice:**

The information contained in this facsimile is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Post Office. Thank you.

**User No.:** 2820

**Client/Matter:**  
8733.308.00

**If transmission is unclear or incomplete, please call sender at the above phone number.**

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
FEB 22 2005PTOL-413A (08-03)  
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/820,702 First Named Applicant: Kyoung S. Kim  
Examiner: Thoi V. Duong Art Unit: 2871 Status of Application: Pending

## Tentative Participants:

(1) Rebecca Goldman Rudich (2) Dae Hee Park  
(3) George G. Ballas (4) \_\_\_\_\_Proposed Date of Interview: February 23, 2005 Proposed Time: 10:00 (AM/PM)

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej</u>	<u>1-18</u>	<u>5,146,354; 5,703,665</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

USPs 5,146,354 and 6,195,141 do not teach disclose or suggest the claimed features.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

## NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)